

Nowadays airlines provide more benefits to the rich, often achieving this by reducing areas used by the economy class to provide more comfort to the 1st class passengers.

To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement? Give your own opinion.

---

Today's airlines just as any other business enterprises target higher income. To this end, they provide the rich with a different travel class, first class, a package of luxury and comfort undoubtedly including more space which will not only ~~will this~~ not avail the poor anything but also deprive them of their right to a pleasant travel. (So is what the business and even premium economy class do.)

Been paid for as much lucrative tickets as possible, airlines still need those who could not afford the seats likes of which were sold to the wealthy. Ordinary seats are available then to not let the plane fly semi-empty. The fewer seats vacant, the more must be have been made out of the business. On one hand, this could be thought of as the result of market economy where as long as supply and demand balance, trades, or better said, exchange of money and services are considered satisfactory. On the other, is this not an unethical illegal privilege for the affluent, and if so, is it not a moral hazard?

As the answer to the first question is no, the second question would remain unanswered. Free economy has been proved to be the best known system where the moneyed invest and others get employed. Wherever there is no privilege, there would be no investment, and hence the rise in the unemployment rate. In other words, while seated on a plane, deprived of benefits that the well off access, just imagine that you are investing the present the now, the moment of imperfectly travelling in holding your job.